WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	Ur	nited Sta	ates of America v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Ron	nald Jerr	maine Redmond	Case Number: <u>CR-13-0546-001-PHX-DGC</u>	
				S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude Check one or both, as applicable.)	
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
	•	preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and require the detention of the ndant pending trial in this case.			
			PART I -	- FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believ	re that the defendant has committed	
				imum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed ., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	
			an offense under 18 U.S.C	C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).	
			an offense listed in 18 U.S maximum term of imprisor	.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a ment of ten years or more is prescribed.	
			an offense involving a mind	or victim prescribed in1	
	(2)	combii		he presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or sonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required	
			Alte	rnative Findings	
\boxtimes	(1)	There	is a serious risk that the de	fendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will	

- reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
- No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the \boxtimes (2) community.
- There is a serious risk that the defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice; or threaten, (3)injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror.

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

(1) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and X convincing evidence as to danger that: Defendant's criminal history, substance abuse and the nature of the instant alleged offense all add to the risk of danger. Moreover, the Court has concerns whether any release condition could mitigate this risk because the instant offense occurred at a time Defendant was on a term of supervised release in another federal case. This fact calls into question whether the Court could

reasonably expect Defendant to follow any condition of release the Court might set.

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2244(a)(1) (abusive sexual contact), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity) offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:13-cr-00546-DGC Document 16 Filed 04/25/13 Page 2 of 2

\boxtimes	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
		defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: endant submitted the issue of detention.
\boxtimes	In ad	dition:
		endant has a history of using several aliases and dates of birth.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 24th day of April, 2013.

David K. Duncan

United States Magistrate Judge